

Free monotone transport without a trace

Brent Nelson

UCLA

October 30, 2013

- Let (M, φ) be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful state:
non-commutative probability space.

- Let (M, φ) be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful state:
non-commutative probability space.
- Elements $X \in M$ are non-commutative random variables.

- Let (M, φ) be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful state:
non-commutative probability space.
- Elements $X \in M$ are non-commutative random variables.
- *Law of X* , $\varphi_X: \mathbb{C}[t] \ni p(t) \mapsto \varphi(p(X))$.

- Let (M, φ) be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful state:
non-commutative probability space.
- Elements $X \in M$ are non-commutative random variables.
- *Law of X* , $\varphi_X: \mathbb{C}[t] \ni p(t) \mapsto \varphi(p(X))$.
- For an N -tuple $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$, φ_X :
 $\mathbb{C}\langle t_1, \dots, t_N \rangle \ni p(t_1, \dots, t_N) \mapsto \varphi(p(X_1, \dots, X_N))$.

- Let (M, φ) be a von Neumann algebra with a faithful state:
non-commutative probability space.
- Elements $X \in M$ are non-commutative random variables.
- *Law of X* , $\varphi_X: \mathbb{C}[t] \ni p(t) \mapsto \varphi(p(X))$.
- For an N -tuple $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$, $\varphi_X: \mathbb{C}\langle t_1, \dots, t_N \rangle \ni p(t_1, \dots, t_N) \mapsto \varphi(p(X_1, \dots, X_N))$.
- All random variables in this talk will be self-adjoint and non-commutative.

- Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N) \subset (M, \varphi)$ and $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N) \subset (L, \psi)$.

- Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N) \subset (M, \varphi)$ and $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N) \subset (L, \psi)$.
- *Transport from φ_X to ψ_Z is $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N) \subset W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$ so that*

$$\varphi(p(Y_1, \dots, Y_N)) = \psi(p(Z_1, \dots, Z_N)) \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{C}\langle t_1, \dots, t_N \rangle;$$

that is, $\psi_Z = \varphi_Y$.

- Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N) \subset (M, \varphi)$ and $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N) \subset (L, \psi)$.
- *Transport from φ_X to ψ_Z is $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N) \subset W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$ so that*

$$\varphi(p(Y_1, \dots, Y_N)) = \psi(p(Z_1, \dots, Z_N)) \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{C}\langle t_1, \dots, t_N \rangle;$$

that is, $\psi_Z = \varphi_Y$.

- Implies $(W^*(Y_1, \dots, Y_N), \varphi) \cong (W^*(Z_1, \dots, Z_N), \psi)$.

- Let $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N) \subset (M, \varphi)$ and $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N) \subset (L, \psi)$.
- Transport from φ_X to ψ_Z is $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N) \subset W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$ so that

$$\varphi(p(Y_1, \dots, Y_N)) = \psi(p(Z_1, \dots, Z_N)) \quad \forall p \in \mathbb{C}\langle t_1, \dots, t_N \rangle;$$

that is, $\psi_Z = \varphi_Y$.

- Implies $(W^*(Y_1, \dots, Y_N), \varphi) \cong (W^*(Z_1, \dots, Z_N), \psi)$.
- And there is a state-preserving embedding of $W^*(Z_1, \dots, Z_N)$ into $W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$.

- Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$, a real Hilbert space with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, complex linear in the second coordinate.

- Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$, a real Hilbert space with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, complex linear in the second coordinate.
- Let $\{U_t: t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a one parameter family of unitaries and let A be their generator: $A^{it} = U_t$.

- Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$, a real Hilbert space with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, complex linear in the second coordinate.
- Let $\{U_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a one parameter family of unitaries and let A be their generator: $A^{it} = U_t$.
- Can assume $A = \text{diag}\{A_1, \dots, A_L, 1 \dots, 1\}$ with

$$A_k = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k + \lambda_k^{-1} & -i(\lambda_k - \lambda_k^{-1}) \\ i(\lambda_k - \lambda_k^{-1}) & \lambda_k + \lambda_k^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, L$$

- Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} = \text{span}\{e_1, \dots, e_N\}$, a real Hilbert space with $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$, complex linear in the second coordinate.
- Let $\{U_t : t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ be a one parameter family of unitaries and let A be their generator: $A^{it} = U_t$.
- Can assume $A = \text{diag}\{A_1, \dots, A_L, 1, \dots, 1\}$ with

$$A_k = \frac{1}{2} \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_k + \lambda_k^{-1} & -i(\lambda_k - \lambda_k^{-1}) \\ i(\lambda_k - \lambda_k^{-1}) & \lambda_k + \lambda_k^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \quad \forall k = 1, \dots, L$$

- Then $\text{spectrum}(A) = \{1, \lambda_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, \lambda_L^{\pm 1}\}$, $A^T = A^{-1}$, $(A^{it})^* = (A^{it})^T = A^{-it}$, and

$$\sum_{j=1}^N |[A]_{ij}| \leq \max\{1, \lambda_1^{\pm 1}, \dots, \lambda_L^{\pm 1}\} \leq \|A\| \quad \forall i = 1, \dots, N.$$

- Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} + i\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and define

$$\langle x, y \rangle_U = \left\langle \frac{2}{1 + A^{-1}} x, y \right\rangle, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}}^{\|\cdot\|_U}$.

- Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} + i\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and define

$$\langle x, y \rangle_U = \left\langle \frac{2}{1 + A^{-1}} x, y \right\rangle, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}}^{\|\cdot\|_U}$.

- The q -Fock space $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$ is the completion of $\mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ with respect to the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_m \rangle_{U,q} \\ = \delta_{n=m} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} q^{i(\pi)} \langle f_1, g_{\pi(1)} \rangle_U \cdots \langle f_n, g_{\pi(n)} \rangle_U \end{aligned}$$

- Let $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}} = \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}} + i\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ and define

$$\langle x, y \rangle_U = \left\langle \frac{2}{1+A^{-1}}x, y \right\rangle, \quad x, y \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}.$$

Let $\mathcal{H} = \overline{\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{C}}}^{\|\cdot\|_U}$.

- The q -Fock space $\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H})$ is the completion of $\mathbb{C}\Omega \oplus \bigoplus_{n=1}^{\infty} \mathcal{H}^{\otimes n}$ with respect to the inner product

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes f_n, g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_m \rangle_{U,q} \\ = \delta_{n=m} \sum_{\pi \in S_n} q^{i(\pi)} \langle f_1, g_{\pi(1)} \rangle_U \cdots \langle f_n, g_{\pi(n)} \rangle_U \end{aligned}$$

- In particular, $\mathcal{F}_0(\mathcal{H})$ is the usual Fock space $\mathcal{F}(\mathcal{H})$.

- For $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we densely define the *left q -creation operator* $l_q(f) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$ by

$$l_q(f)\Omega = f$$

$$l_q(f)g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n = f \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n$$

- For $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we densely define the *left q -creation operator* $l_q(f) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$ by

$$l_q(f)\Omega = f$$

$$l_q(f)g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n = f \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n$$

- Its adjoint, the *left q -annihilation operator*, $l_q(f)^*$ is defined densely by

$$l_q(f)^*\Omega = 0$$

$$l_q(f)^*g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n = \sum_{k=1}^n q^{k-1} \langle f, g_k \rangle_U g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{g}_k \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n$$

- For $f \in \mathcal{H}$ we densely define the *left q -creation operator* $l_q(f) \in \mathcal{B}(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$ by

$$l_q(f)\Omega = f$$

$$l_q(f)g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n = f \otimes g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n$$

- Its adjoint, the *left q -annihilation operator*, $l_q(f)^*$ is defined densely by

$$l_q(f)^*\Omega = 0$$

$$l_q(f)^*g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n = \sum_{k=1}^n q^{k-1} \langle f, g_k \rangle_U g_1 \otimes \cdots \otimes \hat{g}_k \otimes \cdots \otimes g_n$$

- We let $s_q(f) = l_q(f) + l_q(f)^*$, and $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' = W^*(s_q(f) : f \in \mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}})$.

- Ω is cyclic and separating for $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ and hence the vector state $\varphi(\cdot) = \langle \Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle_{U,q}$ is a faithful, non-degenerate state (*free quasi-free state*)

- Ω is cyclic and separating for $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ and hence the vector state $\varphi(\cdot) = \langle \Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle_{U,q}$ is a faithful, non-degenerate state (*free quasi-free state*)
- Throughout, M shall denote $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' = W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$, with $X_j := s_0(e_j)$.

- Ω is cyclic and separating for $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ and hence the vector state $\varphi(\cdot) = \langle \Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle_{U,q}$ is a faithful, non-degenerate state (*free quasi-free state*)
- Throughout, M shall denote $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' = W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$, with $X_j := s_0(e_j)$.
- With respect to the vacuum vector state φ , the X_j are centered semicircular random variables of variance 1, but aren't free unless $U_t = id$.

- Ω is cyclic and separating for $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ and hence the vector state $\varphi(\cdot) = \langle \Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle_{U,q}$ is a faithful, non-degenerate state (*free quasi-free state*)
- Throughout, M shall denote $\Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' = W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$, with $X_j := s_0(e_j)$.
- With respect to the vacuum vector state φ , the X_j are centered semicircular random variables of variance 1, but aren't free unless $U_t = id$.
- Application of result: for small values of $|q|$, $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ is isomorphic to M .

- Modular group: $\sigma_z^\varphi(X_j) = \sum_{k=1}^N [A^{iz}]_{jk} X_k$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$

- Modular group: $\sigma_z^\varphi(X_j) = \sum_{k=1}^N [A^{iz}]_{jk} X_k$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$
- Using the vector notation $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$ we have $\sigma_z^\varphi(X) = A^{iz} X$.

- Modular group: $\sigma_z^\varphi(X_j) = \sum_{k=1}^N [A^{iz}]_{jk} X_k$ for $z \in \mathbb{C}$
- Using the vector notation $X = (X_1, \dots, X_N)$ we have $\sigma_z^\varphi(X) = A^{iz} X$.
- KMS condition:

$$\varphi(X_j P) = \varphi(P \sigma_{-i}(X_j)) = \varphi(P [AX]_j)$$

$$\varphi(P X_j) = \varphi(\sigma_i(X_j) P) = \varphi([A^{-1}X]_j P).$$

- $\mathcal{P} := \mathbb{C} \langle X_1, \dots, X_N \rangle \subset M$.

- $\mathcal{P} := \mathbb{C} \langle X_1, \dots, X_N \rangle \subset M$.
- Can write each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ as

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \sum_{|\underline{j}|=n} c(\underline{j}) X_{\underline{j}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \pi_n(P), \quad c(\underline{j}) \in \mathbb{C}$$

- $\mathcal{P} := \mathbb{C} \langle X_1, \dots, X_N \rangle \subset M$.
- Can write each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ as

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \sum_{|\underline{j}|=n} c(\underline{j}) X_{\underline{j}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \pi_n(P), \quad c(\underline{j}) \in \mathbb{C}$$

- For $R > 0$

$$\|P\|_R := \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \sum_{|\underline{j}|=n} |c(\underline{j})| R^n = \sum_n \|\pi_n(P)\|_R,$$

defines a Banach norm on \mathcal{P} .

- $\mathcal{P} := \mathbb{C} \langle X_1, \dots, X_N \rangle \subset M$.
- Can write each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ as

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \sum_{|\underline{j}|=n} c(\underline{j}) X_{\underline{j}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \pi_n(P), \quad c(\underline{j}) \in \mathbb{C}$$

- For $R > 0$

$$\|P\|_R := \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \sum_{|\underline{j}|=n} |c(\underline{j})| R^n = \sum_n \|\pi_n(P)\|_R,$$

defines a Banach norm on \mathcal{P} .

- $\mathcal{P}^{(R)} = \overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\|\cdot\|_R}$

- $\mathcal{P} := \mathbb{C} \langle X_1, \dots, X_N \rangle \subset M$.
- Can write each $P \in \mathcal{P}$ as

$$P = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \sum_{|\underline{j}|=n} c(\underline{j}) X_{\underline{j}} = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \pi_n(P), \quad c(\underline{j}) \in \mathbb{C}$$

- For $R > 0$

$$\|P\|_R := \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \sum_{|\underline{j}|=n} |c(\underline{j})| R^n = \sum_n \|\pi_n(P)\|_R,$$

defines a Banach norm on \mathcal{P} .

- $\mathcal{P}^{(R)} = \overline{\mathcal{P}}^{\|\cdot\|_R}$
- If $R \geq 2 \geq \|X_j\|$, then $\mathcal{P}^{(R)} \subset M$.

- $\mathcal{P}_\varphi = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \sigma_i(P) = P\} = M_\varphi \cap \mathcal{P}.$

- $\mathcal{P}_\varphi = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \sigma_i(P) = P\} = M_\varphi \cap \mathcal{P}$.
- Define $\rho: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ on monomials by

$$\rho(X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_n}) = \sigma_{-i}(X_{j_n})X_{i_1} \cdots X_{j_{n-1}}.$$

- $\mathcal{P}_\varphi = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \sigma_i(P) = P\} = M_\varphi \cap \mathcal{P}$.
- Define $\rho: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ on monomials by

$$\rho(X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_n}) = \sigma_{-i}(X_{j_n})X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_{n-1}}.$$

- We call $\rho^k(P)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a σ -cyclic rearrangement of P .

- $\mathcal{P}_\varphi = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \sigma_i(P) = P\} = M_\varphi \cap \mathcal{P}$.
- Define $\rho: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ on monomials by

$$\rho(X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_n}) = \sigma_{-i}(X_{j_n})X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_{n-1}}.$$

- We call $\rho^k(P)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a σ -cyclic rearrangement of P .
- Define

$$\|P\|_{R,\sigma} = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \sup_{k_n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \rho^{k_n}(\pi_n(P)) \right\|_R,$$

is a Banach norm on $\mathcal{P}^{finite} = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \|P\|_{R,\sigma} < \infty\}$.

- $\mathcal{P}_\varphi = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \sigma_i(P) = P\} = M_\varphi \cap \mathcal{P}$.
- Define $\rho: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ on monomials by

$$\rho(X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_n}) = \sigma_{-i}(X_{j_n}) X_{i_1} \cdots X_{j_{n-1}}.$$

- We call $\rho^k(P)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a σ -cyclic rearrangement of P .
- Define

$$\|P\|_{R,\sigma} = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \sup_{k_n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \rho^{k_n}(\pi_n(P)) \right\|_R,$$

is a Banach norm on $\mathcal{P}^{finite} = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \|P\|_{R,\sigma} < \infty\}$.

- $\mathcal{P}_\varphi \subset \mathcal{P}^{finite}$, in fact $\|P\|_{R,\sigma} \leq \|A\|^{\deg(P)-1} \|P\|_R$.

- $\mathcal{P}_\varphi = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \sigma_i(P) = P\} = M_\varphi \cap \mathcal{P}$.
- Define $\rho: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ on monomials by

$$\rho(X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_n}) = \sigma_{-i}(X_{j_n}) X_{j_1} \cdots X_{j_{n-1}}.$$

- We call $\rho^k(P)$ for $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ a σ -cyclic rearrangement of P .
- Define

$$\|P\|_{R,\sigma} = \sum_{n=0}^{\deg(P)} \sup_{k_n \in \mathbb{Z}} \left\| \rho^{k_n}(\pi_n(P)) \right\|_R,$$

is a Banach norm on $\mathcal{P}^{finite} = \{P \in \mathcal{P} : \|P\|_{R,\sigma} < \infty\}$.

- $\mathcal{P}_\varphi \subset \mathcal{P}^{finite}$, in fact $\|P\|_{R,\sigma} \leq \|A\|^{\deg(P)-1} \|P\|_R$.
- $\mathcal{P}(R,\sigma) = \overline{\mathcal{P}^{finite}}^{\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}}$

- We let $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^{(R)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^{(R,\sigma)}$ denote the elements of the respective algebras which are fixed by σ_j .

- We let $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^{(R)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^{(R,\sigma)}$ denote the elements of the respective algebras which are fixed by σ_i .
- Let $\mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}^{(R,\sigma)} : \rho(P) = P\}$ be the σ -cyclically symmetric elements.

- We let $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^{(R)}$ and $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^{(R,\sigma)}$ denote the elements of the respective algebras which are fixed by σ_i .
- Let $\mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)} = \{P \in \mathcal{P}^{(R,\sigma)} : \rho(P) = P\}$ be the σ -cyclically symmetric elements.
- On $(\mathcal{P}^{(R)})^N$ and $(\mathcal{P}^{(R,\sigma)})^N$ we use the max-norm, which we still denote $\|\cdot\|_R$ and $\|\cdot\|_{R,\sigma}$.

- Let $\delta_j: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op}$ be Voiculescu's free difference quotients, defined by $\delta_j(X_k) = \delta_{j=k}1 \otimes 1$ and the Leibniz rule.

- Let $\delta_j: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op}$ be Voiculescu's free difference quotients, defined by $\delta_j(X_k) = \delta_{j=k}1 \otimes 1$ and the Leibniz rule.
- Conventions on $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op}$:

- Let $\delta_j: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op}$ be Voiculescu's free difference quotients, defined by $\delta_j(X_k) = \delta_{j=k}1 \otimes 1$ and the Leibniz rule.
- Conventions on $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op}$:
 - Suppress "o" notation: $a \otimes b^o \mapsto a \otimes b$
 - $a \otimes b \# c \otimes d = (ac) \otimes (db)$
 - $a \otimes b \# c = acb$, $m(a \otimes b) = ab$

- Let $\delta_j: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op}$ be Voiculescu's free difference quotients, defined by $\delta_j(X_k) = \delta_{j=k}1 \otimes 1$ and the Leibniz rule.
- Conventions on $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op}$:
 - Suppress "o" notation: $a \otimes b^o \mapsto a \otimes b$
 - $a \otimes b \# c \otimes d = (ac) \otimes (db)$
 - $a \otimes b \# c = acb$, $m(a \otimes b) = ab$
 - $(a \otimes b)^* = a^* \otimes b^*$
 - $(a \otimes b)^\dagger = b^* \otimes a^*$
 - $(a \otimes b)^\diamond = b \otimes a$

- Let $\delta_j: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op}$ be Voiculescu's free difference quotients, defined by $\delta_j(X_k) = \delta_{j=k}1 \otimes 1$ and the Leibniz rule.
- Conventions on $\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op}$:
 - Suppress "o" notation: $a \otimes b^\circ \mapsto a \otimes b$
 - $a \otimes b \# c \otimes d = (ac) \otimes (db)$
 - $a \otimes b \# c = acb$, $m(a \otimes b) = ab$
 - $(a \otimes b)^* = a^* \otimes b^*$
 - $(a \otimes b)^\dagger = b^* \otimes a^*$
 - $(a \otimes b)^\diamond = b \otimes a$
- As a $\mathcal{P} - \mathcal{P}$ bimodule: $c \cdot (a \otimes b) = (ca) \otimes b$ and $(a \otimes b) \cdot c = a \otimes (bc)$

- For $j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ denote

$$\alpha_{jk} = \left[\frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{jk} = \varphi(X_k X_j),$$

then $\overline{\alpha_{jk}} = \alpha_{kj}$, $\alpha_{jj} = 1$, and $|\alpha_{jk}| \leq 1$.

- For $j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ denote

$$\alpha_{jk} = \left[\frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{jk} = \varphi(X_k X_j),$$

then $\overline{\alpha_{jk}} = \alpha_{kj}$, $\alpha_{jj} = 1$, and $|\alpha_{jk}| \leq 1$.

- For each j define σ -difference quotient $\partial_j = \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_{kj} \delta_k$

- For $j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ denote

$$\alpha_{jk} = \left[\frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{jk} = \varphi(X_k X_j),$$

then $\overline{\alpha_{jk}} = \alpha_{kj}$, $\alpha_{jj} = 1$, and $|\alpha_{jk}| \leq 1$.

- For each j define σ -difference quotient $\partial_j = \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_{kj} \delta_k$
- We consider this derivation because $\varphi(X_j P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j(P))$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

- For $j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ denote

$$\alpha_{jk} = \left[\frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{jk} = \varphi(X_k X_j),$$

then $\overline{\alpha_{jk}} = \alpha_{kj}$, $\alpha_{jj} = 1$, and $|\alpha_{jk}| \leq 1$.

- For each j define σ -difference quotient $\partial_j = \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_{kj} \delta_k$
- We consider this derivation because $\varphi(X_j P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j(P))$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}$.
- Define another derivation $\bar{\partial}_j$ so that $\partial_j(P)^\dagger = \bar{\partial}_j(P^*)$.

- For $j, k \in \{1, \dots, N\}$ denote

$$\alpha_{jk} = \left[\frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{jk} = \varphi(X_k X_j),$$

then $\overline{\alpha_{jk}} = \alpha_{kj}$, $\alpha_{jj} = 1$, and $|\alpha_{jk}| \leq 1$.

- For each j define σ -difference quotient $\partial_j = \sum_{k=1}^N \alpha_{kj} \delta_k$
- We consider this derivation because $\varphi(X_j P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j(P))$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}$.
- Define another derivation $\bar{\partial}_j$ so that $\partial_j(P)^\dagger = \bar{\partial}_j(P^*)$.
- The modular group interacts with ∂_j as follows:

$$(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i) \circ \partial_j \circ \sigma_{-i} = \bar{\partial}_j$$

- For $P = (P_1, \dots, P_N) \in \mathcal{P}^N$ define $\mathcal{J}P, \mathcal{J}_\sigma P \in M_N(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op})$ by

$$[\mathcal{J}P]_{jk} = \delta_k P_j \quad [\mathcal{J}_\sigma P]_{jk} = \partial_k P_j$$

- For $P = (P_1, \dots, P_N) \in \mathcal{P}^N$ define $\mathcal{J}P, \mathcal{J}_\sigma P \in M_N(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op})$ by

$$[\mathcal{J}P]_{jk} = \delta_k P_j \quad [\mathcal{J}_\sigma P]_{jk} = \partial_k P_j$$

- $M_N(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow M_N(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op})$ in the obvious way.

- For $P = (P_1, \dots, P_N) \in \mathcal{P}^N$ define $\mathcal{J}P, \mathcal{J}_\sigma P \in M_N(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op})$ by

$$[\mathcal{J}P]_{jk} = \delta_k P_j \quad [\mathcal{J}_\sigma P]_{jk} = \partial_k P_j$$

- $M_N(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow M_N(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op})$ in the obvious way.
- Examples:

$$[\mathcal{J}X]_{jk} = \delta_k X_j = \delta_{k=j} 1 \otimes 1 = [1]_{jk}$$

$$[\mathcal{J}_\sigma X]_{jk} = \partial_k X_j = \alpha_{jk} 1 \otimes 1 = \left[\frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{jk}$$

- For $P = (P_1, \dots, P_N) \in \mathcal{P}^N$ define $\mathcal{J}P, \mathcal{J}_\sigma P \in M_N(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op})$ by

$$[\mathcal{J}P]_{jk} = \delta_k P_j \quad [\mathcal{J}_\sigma P]_{jk} = \partial_k P_j$$

- $M_N(\mathbb{C}) \hookrightarrow M_N(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op})$ in the obvious way.
- Examples:

$$[\mathcal{J}X]_{jk} = \delta_k X_j = \delta_{k=j} 1 \otimes 1 = [1]_{jk}$$

$$[\mathcal{J}_\sigma X]_{jk} = \partial_k X_j = \alpha_{jk} 1 \otimes 1 = \left[\frac{2}{1+A} \right]_{jk}$$

- A simple computation reveals $\mathcal{J}P = \mathcal{J}_\sigma P \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1}$ for all $P \in (\mathcal{P}^{(R)})^N$.

- For each j we define the j -th σ -cyclic derivative $\mathcal{D}_j: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_j(X_{k_1} \cdots X_{k_n}) = \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha_{jk_l} \sigma_{-i}(X_{k_{l+1}} \cdots X_{k_n}) X_{k_1} \cdots X_{k_{l-1}},$$

or $\mathcal{D}_j = m \circ \diamond \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_{-i}) \circ \bar{\partial}_j$.

- For each j we define the j -th σ -cyclic derivative $\mathcal{D}_j: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_j(X_{k_1} \cdots X_{k_n}) = \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha_{jk_l} \sigma_{-i}(X_{k_{l+1}} \cdots X_{k_n}) X_{k_1} \cdots X_{k_{l-1}},$$

or $\mathcal{D}_j = m \circ \diamond \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_{-i}) \circ \bar{\partial}_j$.

- We define the σ -cyclic gradient by $\mathcal{D}P = (\mathcal{D}_1P, \dots, \mathcal{D}_NP) \in \mathcal{P}^N$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}$.

- For each j we define the j -th σ -cyclic derivative $\mathcal{D}_j: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_j(X_{k_1} \cdots X_{k_n}) = \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha_{jk_l} \sigma_{-i}(X_{k_{l+1}} \cdots X_{k_n}) X_{k_1} \cdots X_{k_{l-1}},$$

or $\mathcal{D}_j = m \circ \diamond \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_{-i}) \circ \bar{\partial}_j$.

- We define the σ -cyclic gradient by $\mathcal{D}P = (\mathcal{D}_1 P, \dots, \mathcal{D}_N P) \in \mathcal{P}^N$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}$.
- Example:

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} X_k X_j \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$$

then $\mathcal{D}V_0 = (X_1, \dots, X_N) = X$.

- For each j we define the j -th σ -cyclic derivative $\mathcal{D}_j: \mathcal{P} \rightarrow \mathcal{P}$ by

$$\mathcal{D}_j(X_{k_1} \cdots X_{k_n}) = \sum_{l=1}^n \alpha_{jk_l} \sigma_{-i}(X_{k_{l+1}} \cdots X_{k_n}) X_{k_1} \cdots X_{k_{l-1}},$$

or $\mathcal{D}_j = m \circ \diamond \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_{-i}) \circ \bar{\mathcal{D}}_j$.

- We define the σ -cyclic gradient by $\mathcal{D}P = (\mathcal{D}_1 P, \dots, \mathcal{D}_N P) \in \mathcal{P}^N$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}$.
- Example:

$$V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} X_k X_j \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$$

then $\mathcal{D}V_0 = (X_1, \dots, X_N) = X$.

- Can also define $\bar{\mathcal{D}}_j$ so that $(\mathcal{D}_j P)^* = \bar{\mathcal{D}}_j(P^*)$.

- Given $V \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$, we say that a state ψ on $W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$ satisfies the *Schwinger-Dyson equation with potential V* if

$$\psi(\mathcal{D}V\#P) = \psi \otimes \psi^{op} \otimes \text{Tr}(\mathcal{J}_\sigma P) \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}^{(R)},$$

in which case we call ψ the *free Gibbs state with potential V* , and may denote it φ_V .

- Given $V \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$, we say that a state ψ on $W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$ satisfies the *Schwinger-Dyson equation with potential V* if

$$\psi(\mathcal{D}V\#P) = \psi \otimes \psi^{op} \otimes \text{Tr}(\mathcal{J}_\sigma P) \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}^{(R)},$$

in which case we call ψ the *free Gibbs state with potential V* , and may denote it φ_V .

- The state φ_V is unique provided $\|V - V_0\|_{R,\sigma}$ is small enough.

- Given $V \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$, we say that a state ψ on $W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$ satisfies the *Schwinger-Dyson equation with potential V* if

$$\psi(\mathcal{D}V\#P) = \psi \otimes \psi^{op} \otimes \text{Tr}(\mathcal{J}_\sigma P) \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}^{(R)},$$

in which case we call ψ the *free Gibbs state with potential V* , and may denote it φ_V .

- The state φ_V is unique provided $\|V - V_0\|_{R,\sigma}$ is small enough.
- The vacuum vector state $\varphi = \varphi_{V_0}$.

- Given $V \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$, we say that a state ψ on $W^*(X_1, \dots, X_N)$ satisfies the *Schwinger-Dyson equation with potential V* if

$$\psi(\mathcal{D}V\#P) = \psi \otimes \psi^{op} \otimes \text{Tr}(\mathcal{J}_\sigma P) \quad \forall P \in \mathcal{P}^{(R)},$$

in which case we call ψ the *free Gibbs state with potential V* , and may denote it φ_V .

- The state φ_V is unique provided $\|V - V_0\|_{R,\sigma}$ is small enough.
- The vacuum vector state $\varphi = \varphi_{V_0}$.
- Consequently, $X = \mathcal{J}_\sigma^*(1)$, where $1 \in M_N(\mathcal{P} \otimes \mathcal{P}^{op})$ is the identity matrix.

- Idea is to suppose the law of $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N) \subset (L, \psi)$ is the free Gibbs state with potential $V = V_0 + W$: $\psi_Z = \varphi_V$.

- Idea is to suppose the law of $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N) \subset (L, \psi)$ is the free Gibbs state with potential $V = V_0 + W$: $\psi_Z = \varphi_V$.
- By exploiting the Schwinger-Dyson equation, we will construct $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N) \subset (M, \varphi)$ of the form $Y_j = X_j + f_j$ whose law induced by φ is also the free Gibbs state with potential V .

- Idea is to suppose the law of $Z = (Z_1, \dots, Z_N) \subset (L, \psi)$ is the free Gibbs state with potential $V = V_0 + W$: $\psi_Z = \varphi_V$.
- By exploiting the Schwinger-Dyson equation, we will construct $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N) \subset (M, \varphi)$ of the form $Y_j = X_j + f_j$ whose law induced by φ is also the free Gibbs state with potential V .
- Provided $\|W\|_{R,\sigma}$ is small enough, the free Gibbs state with potential $V_0 + W$ will be unique and therefore we will have transport from φ_X to ψ_Z .

- Suppose $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ with $Y_j = X_j + f_j$ and $f_j \in \mathcal{D}^{(R)}$, assume assume that φ_Y satisfies the Schwinger-Dyson equation with potential $V = V_0 + W$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}(\mathcal{J}_\sigma)_Y^*(1) &= \mathcal{D}_Y(V_0(Y) + W(Y)) \\ &= Y + (\mathcal{D}W)(Y) \\ &= X + f + (\mathcal{D}W)(X + f)\end{aligned}\tag{1}$$

- Suppose $Y = (Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ with $Y_j = X_j + f_j$ and $f_j \in \mathcal{D}^{(R)}$, assume assume that φ_Y satisfies the Schwinger-Dyson equation with potential $V = V_0 + W$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
 (\mathcal{J}_\sigma)_Y^*(1) &= \mathcal{D}_Y(V_0(Y) + W(Y)) \\
 &= Y + (\mathcal{D}W)(Y) \\
 &= X + f + (\mathcal{D}W)(X + f)
 \end{aligned} \tag{1}$$

- Need to write the left-hand side in terms of X .

- Using a change of variables argument, the Schwinger-Dyson equation (1) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{I}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i) \left(\frac{1}{1+B} \right) = X + f + (\mathcal{D}W)(X + f), \quad (2)$$

where $B = \mathcal{I}_\sigma f \# \mathcal{I}_\sigma X^{-1}$.

- Using a change of variables argument, the Schwinger-Dyson equation (1) is equivalent to

$$\mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i) \left(\frac{1}{1+B} \right) = X + f + (\mathcal{D}W)(X + f), \quad (2)$$

where $B = \mathcal{J}_\sigma f \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1}$.

- Using identities $\frac{1}{1+x} = 1 - \frac{x}{1+x}$ and $\frac{x}{1+x} = x - \frac{x^2}{1+x}$ and multiplying by $1+B$, (2) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} & - \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B) - f \\ & = \mathcal{D}(W(X + f)) + B \# f + B \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i) \left(\frac{B}{1+B} \right) \\ & \quad - \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i) \left(\frac{B^2}{1+B} \right), \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Lemma 2.1

Let $g = g^* \in \mathcal{D}_\varphi^{(R,\sigma)}$ and let $f = \mathcal{D}g$. Then for any $m \geq -1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} B \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) - \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+2}) \\ = \frac{1}{m+2} \mathcal{D} [(\varphi \otimes 1) \circ \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}} + (1 \otimes \varphi) \circ \text{Tr}_A] (B^{m+2}) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Lemma 2.1

Let $g = g^* \in \mathcal{P}_\varphi^{(R,\sigma)}$ and let $f = \mathcal{D}g$. Then for any $m \geq -1$ we have:

$$\begin{aligned} B \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) - \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+2}) \\ = \frac{1}{m+2} \mathcal{D} [(\varphi \otimes 1) \circ \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}} + (1 \otimes \varphi) \circ \text{Tr}_A] (B^{m+2}) \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

Proof.

We prove the equivalence weakly by taking inner products against $P \in (\mathcal{P}^{(R)})^N$. Denote the left-hand side by E_L and the right-hand side by E_R .

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

$$\langle P, B \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \rangle_\varphi$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \langle P, B \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \rangle_\varphi \\
 &= \sum_{j,k=1}^N \varphi (P_j^* \cdot B_{jk} \# [\mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1})]_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle P, B \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \rangle_\varphi \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^N \varphi (P_j^* \cdot B_{jk} \# [\mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1})]_k) \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^N \varphi ((\sigma_i \otimes 1)(B_{jk}^\diamond) \# P_j^* \cdot [\mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1})]_k)
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle P, B \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \rangle_\varphi \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^N \varphi (P_j^* \cdot B_{jk} \# [\mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1})]_k) \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^N \varphi ((\sigma_i \otimes 1)(B_{jk}^\diamond) \# P_j^* \cdot [\mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1})]_k) \\
&= \langle (1 \otimes \sigma_{-i})(B^*) \# P, \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \rangle_\varphi
\end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

$$\begin{aligned}
& \langle P, B \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \rangle_\varphi \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^N \varphi (P_j^* \cdot B_{jk} \# [\mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1})]_k) \\
&= \sum_{j,k=1}^N \varphi ((\sigma_i \otimes 1)(B_{jk}^\diamond) \# P_j^* \cdot [\mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1})]_k) \\
&= \langle (1 \otimes \sigma_{-i})(B^*) \# P, \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \rangle_\varphi \\
&= \langle [\mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} \# \hat{\sigma}_i(\mathcal{J}_\sigma f)] \# P, \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \rangle_\varphi
\end{aligned}$$

where $\hat{\sigma}_i = \sigma_i \otimes \sigma_{-i}$.

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Hence if $\phi = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op} \otimes \text{Tr}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P, E_L \rangle_\varphi &= \langle \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma \{ \hat{\sigma}_i(\mathcal{J}_\sigma f) \# P \}, (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \rangle_\phi \\ &\quad - \langle \mathcal{J}_\sigma P, (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+2}) \rangle_\phi. \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Hence if $\phi = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op} \otimes \text{Tr}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P, E_L \rangle_\varphi &= \langle \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma \{ \hat{\sigma}_i(\mathcal{J}_\sigma f) \# P \}, (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \rangle_\phi \\ &\quad - \langle \mathcal{J}_\sigma P, (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+2}) \rangle_\phi. \end{aligned}$$

The “product rule” simplifies the right-hand side to simplify to

$$\langle P, E_L \rangle_\varphi = \left\langle Q^P, \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} \# (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \right\rangle_\phi,$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Hence if $\phi = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op} \otimes \text{Tr}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P, E_L \rangle_\varphi &= \langle \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma \{ \hat{\sigma}_i(\mathcal{J}_\sigma f) \# P \}, (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \rangle_\phi \\ &\quad - \langle \mathcal{J}_\sigma P, (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+2}) \rangle_\phi. \end{aligned}$$

The “product rule” simplifies the right-hand side to simplify to

$$\langle P, E_L \rangle_\varphi = \left\langle Q^P, \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} \# (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \right\rangle_\phi,$$

where, if $a \otimes b \otimes c \#_1 \xi = (a\xi b) \otimes c$ and $a \otimes b \otimes c \#_2 \xi = a \otimes (b\xi c)$, then

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Hence if $\phi = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op} \otimes \text{Tr}$ then

$$\begin{aligned} \langle P, E_L \rangle_\phi &= \langle \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma \{ \hat{\sigma}_i(\mathcal{J}_\sigma f) \# P \}, (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \rangle_\phi \\ &\quad - \langle \mathcal{J}_\sigma P, (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+2}) \rangle_\phi. \end{aligned}$$

The “product rule” simplifies the right-hand side to simplify to

$$\langle P, E_L \rangle_\phi = \left\langle Q^P, \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} \# (1 \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+1}) \right\rangle_\phi,$$

where, if $a \otimes b \otimes c \#_1 \xi = (a \xi b) \otimes c$ and $a \otimes b \otimes c \#_2 \xi = a \otimes (b \xi c)$, then

$$[Q^P]_{jk} = \sum_{l=1}^N (\partial_k \otimes 1) \circ \hat{\sigma}_i \circ \partial_l(f_j) \#_2 P_l + (1 \otimes \partial_k) \circ \hat{\sigma}_i \circ \partial_l(f_j) \#_1 P_l$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

So we have

$$\langle E_L, P \rangle_\varphi = \phi(Q^P \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} \# B^{m+1})$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Next for $u = 1, \dots, m + 2$ let R_u be the matrix with all zero entries except $[R_u]_{i_u j_u} = a_u \otimes b_u$.

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Next for $u = 1, \dots, m + 2$ let R_u be the matrix with all zero entries except $[R_u]_{i_u j_u} = a_u \otimes b_u$. Let $C = [A^{-1}]_{j_{m+2} i_1} \prod_{u=1}^{m+2} \delta_{j_u = i_{u+1}}$ and consider

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Next for $u = 1, \dots, m + 2$ let R_u be the matrix with all zero entries except $[R_u]_{i_u j_u} = a_u \otimes b_u$. Let $C = [A^{-1}]_{j_{m+2} i_1} \prod_{u=1}^{m+2} \delta_{j_u = i_{u+1}}$ and consider

$$\sum_k \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k(\varphi \otimes 1) \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}}(R_1 \cdots R_{m+2}) P_k)$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Next for $u = 1, \dots, m+2$ let R_u be the matrix with all zero entries except $[R_u]_{i_u j_u} = a_u \otimes b_u$. Let $C = [A^{-1}]_{j_{m+2} i_1} \prod_{u=1}^{m+2} \delta_{j_u = i_{u+1}}$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k(\varphi \otimes 1) \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}}(R_1 \cdots R_{m+2}) P_k) \\ = \sum_k C \varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{m+2}) \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k(b_{m+2} \cdots b_1) P_k) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Next for $u = 1, \dots, m + 2$ let R_u be the matrix with all zero entries except $[R_u]_{i_u j_u} = a_u \otimes b_u$. Let $C = [A^{-1}]_{j_{m+2} i_1} \prod_{u=1}^{m+2} \delta_{j_u = i_{u+1}}$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_k \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k(\varphi \otimes 1) \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}}(R_1 \cdots R_{m+2}) P_k) \\ &= \sum_k C \varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{m+2}) \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k(b_{m+2} \cdots b_1) P_k) \\ &= \sum_k C \varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{m+2}) \varphi(\hat{\sigma}_i \circ \partial_k(b_{m+2} \cdots b_1) \# P_k) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Next for $u = 1, \dots, m+2$ let R_u be the matrix with all zero entries except $[R_u]_{i_u j_u} = a_u \otimes b_u$. Let $C = [A^{-1}]_{j_{m+2} i_1} \prod_{u=1}^{m+2} \delta_{j_u = i_{u+1}}$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_k \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k(\varphi \otimes 1)) \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}}(R_1 \cdots R_{m+2}) P_k \\
 &= \sum_k C \varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{m+2}) \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k(b_{m+2} \cdots b_1) P_k) \\
 &= \sum_k C \varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{m+2}) \varphi(\hat{\sigma}_i \circ \partial_k(b_{m+2} \cdots b_1) \# P_k) \\
 &= \sum_{k,u} C \varphi(\sigma_i(a_u \cdots a_{m+2}) a_1 \cdots a_{u-1}) \\
 & \quad \times \varphi(b_{u-1} \cdots b_1 \sigma_i(b_{m+2} \cdots b_{u+1}) \cdot \hat{\sigma}_i \circ \partial_k(b_u) \# P_k)
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Next for $u = 1, \dots, m+2$ let R_u be the matrix with all zero entries except $[R_u]_{i_u j_u} = a_u \otimes b_u$. Let $C = [A^{-1}]_{j_{m+2} i_1} \prod_{u=1}^{m+2} \delta_{j_u = i_{u+1}}$ and consider

$$\begin{aligned}
 & \sum_k \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k(\varphi \otimes 1)) \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}}(R_1 \cdots R_{m+2}) P_k \\
 &= \sum_k C \varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{m+2}) \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k(b_{m+2} \cdots b_1) P_k) \\
 &= \sum_k C \varphi(a_1 \cdots a_{m+2}) \varphi(\hat{\sigma}_i \circ \partial_k(b_{m+2} \cdots b_1) \# P_k) \\
 &= \sum_{k,u} C \varphi(\sigma_i(a_u \cdots a_{m+2}) a_1 \cdots a_{u-1}) \\
 &\quad \times \varphi(b_{u-1} \cdots b_1 \sigma_i(b_{m+2} \cdots b_{u+1}) \cdot \hat{\sigma}_i \circ \partial_k(b_u) \# P_k) \\
 &= \sum_u \phi(\Delta_{(1,P)}(R_u)(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i)(R_{u+1} \cdots R_{m+2}) A^{-1} R_1 \cdots R_{u-1})
 \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Where for an arbitrary matrix O

$$[\Delta_{(1,P)}(O)]_{jk} = \sum_I \sigma_i \otimes (\hat{\sigma}_i \circ \partial_I)([O]_{jk}) \#_2 P_I.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Where for an arbitrary matrix O

$$[\Delta_{(1,P)}(O)]_{jk} = \sum_l \sigma_l \otimes (\hat{\sigma}_l \circ \partial_l)([O]_{jk}) \#_2 P_l.$$

Replacing R_u with B for each u and using $(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i)(B)A^{-1} = A^{-1}B$ turns the previous equation into

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Where for an arbitrary matrix O

$$[\Delta_{(1,P)}(O)]_{jk} = \sum_l \sigma_i \otimes (\hat{\sigma}_i \circ \partial_l)([O]_{jk}) \#_2 P_l.$$

Replacing R_u with B for each u and using $(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i)(B)A^{-1} = A^{-1}B$ turns the previous equation into

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k(\varphi \otimes 1) \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}}(B^{m+2}) P_k) \\ = \sum_u \phi(\Delta_{(1,P)}(B)(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+2-u})A^{-1}B^{u-1}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Where for an arbitrary matrix O

$$[\Delta_{(1,P)}(O)]_{jk} = \sum_l \sigma_i \otimes (\hat{\sigma}_i \circ \partial_l)([O]_{jk}) \#_2 P_l.$$

Replacing R_u with B for each u and using $(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i)(B)A^{-1} = A^{-1}B$ turns the previous equation into

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_k \varphi(\bar{\mathcal{D}}_k(\varphi \otimes 1) \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}}(B^{m+2}) P_k) \\ &= \sum_u \phi(\Delta_{(1,P)}(B)(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+2-u})A^{-1}B^{u-1}) \\ &= (m+2)\phi(\Delta_{(1,P)}(B)A^{-1}B^{m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Where for an arbitrary matrix O

$$[\Delta_{(1,P)}(O)]_{jk} = \sum_I \sigma_i \otimes (\hat{\sigma}_i \circ \partial_I)([O]_{jk}) \#_2 P_I.$$

Replacing R_u with B for each u and using $(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i)(B)A^{-1} = A^{-1}B$ turns the previous equation into

$$\begin{aligned} & \langle \mathcal{D}(\varphi \otimes 1) \operatorname{Tr}_{A^{-1}}(B^{m+2}), P \rangle_\varphi \\ &= \sum_u \phi(\Delta_{(1,P)}(B)(\sigma_i \otimes \sigma_i)(B^{m+2-u})A^{-1}B^{u-1}) \\ &= (m+2)\phi(\Delta_{(1,P)}(B)A^{-1}B^{m+1}) \end{aligned}$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Similarly,

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes \varphi) \text{Tr}_A(B^{m+2}), P \rangle_\varphi = (m+2) \phi(\Delta_{(2,P)}(B) A B^{m+1}),$$

where

$$[\Delta_{(2,P)}(O)]_{jk} = \sum_l (\hat{\sigma}_l \circ \partial_l) \otimes \sigma_{-l}([O]_{jk}) \#_1 P_l.$$

Proof of Lemma 2.1 (conti.)

Similarly,

$$\langle \mathcal{D}(1 \otimes \varphi) \text{Tr}_A(B^{m+2}), P \rangle_\varphi = (m+2)\phi(\Delta_{(2,P)}(B)AB^{m+1}),$$

where

$$[\Delta_{(2,P)}(O)]_{jk} = \sum_l (\hat{\sigma}_i \circ \partial_l) \otimes \sigma_{-i}([O]_{jk}) \#_1 P_l.$$

To finish the proof we simply verify that

$$Q^P \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} = \Delta_{(1,P)}(B)A^{-1} + \Delta_{(2,P)}(B)A,$$

which follows from their definitions after decomposing the various derivations as linear combinations of the free difference quotients δ_k . □

Define

$$\mathcal{N}(X_{\underline{i}}) = |\underline{i}| X_{\underline{i}}$$
$$\Sigma(X_{\underline{i}}) = \frac{1}{|\underline{i}|} X_{\underline{i}}$$

- Recall $f = \mathcal{D}g$, and $B = \mathcal{J}_\sigma f \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} = \mathcal{J}f$. Set

$$Q(g) = [(1 \otimes \varphi) \circ \text{Tr}_A + (\varphi \otimes 1) \circ \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}}](B - \log(1 + B)),$$

- Recall $f = \mathcal{D}g$, and $B = \mathcal{J}_\sigma f \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} = \mathcal{J}f$. Set

$$Q(g) = [(1 \otimes \varphi) \circ \text{Tr}_A + (\varphi \otimes 1) \circ \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}}](B - \log(1 + B)),$$

- Then by comparing power series the previous lemma implies

$$\mathcal{D}Q(g) = B \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma) \left(\frac{B}{1+B} \right) - \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i) \left(\frac{B^2}{1+B} \right).$$

- Recall $f = \mathcal{D}g$, and $B = \mathcal{J}_\sigma f \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} = \mathcal{J}f$. Set

$$Q(g) = [(1 \otimes \varphi) \circ \text{Tr}_A + (\varphi \otimes 1) \circ \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}}](B - \log(1 + B)),$$

- Then by comparing power series the previous lemma implies

$$\mathcal{D}Q(g) = B \# \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma) \left(\frac{B}{1+B} \right) - \mathcal{J}_\sigma^* \circ (1 \otimes \sigma_i) \left(\frac{B^2}{1+B} \right).$$

Lemma 2.2

Assume $f = \mathcal{D}g$ for $g = g^* \in \mathcal{P}_\varphi^{(R,\sigma)}$ and $\|\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D}g\|_{R \otimes_\pi R} < 1$. Then equation (3) is equivalent to

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\{[(\varphi \otimes 1) \circ \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}} + (1 \otimes \varphi) \circ \text{Tr}_A](\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D}g) - \mathcal{N}g\} & \quad (5) \\ & = \mathcal{D}(W(X + \mathcal{D}g)) + \mathcal{D}Q(g) + (\mathcal{J}\mathcal{D}g) \# \mathcal{D}g \end{aligned}$$

Corollary 2.3

Let $g \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$ and assume that $\|g\|_{R,\sigma} < R^2/2$. Let $S \geq R + \|g\|_{R,\sigma}$. Let $S \geq R + \|g\|_{R,\sigma}$ and let $W \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(S)}$. Assume $|\varphi(X_j)| \leq C_0^{|j|}$ for all j and some $C_0 > 0$ and furthermore that $C_0/R < 1/2$. Let

$$F(g) = -W(X + \mathcal{D}\Sigma g) - \frac{1}{2} \{ \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} \# \mathcal{D}\Sigma g \} \# \mathcal{D}\Sigma g \\ + [(1 \otimes \varphi) \circ \text{Tr}_A + (\varphi \otimes 1) \circ \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}}](\mathcal{J} \mathcal{D}\Sigma g) - Q(\Sigma g)$$

Then $F(g)$ is a well-defined function from $\mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$ to $\mathcal{P}_\varphi^{(R,\sigma)}$. In particular, if we fix $0 < \rho \leq 1$ and $R > 4\sqrt{\|A\|}$, then $\|W\|_{R,\sigma} < \frac{\rho}{2N}$ and $\sum_j \|\delta_j(W)\|_{(R+\rho) \otimes_\pi (R+\rho)} < \frac{1}{8}$ imply that

$$E_1 := \left\{ g \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)} : \|g\|_{R,\sigma} < \frac{\rho}{N} \right\} \xrightarrow{F} \left\{ g \in \mathcal{P}_\varphi^{(R,\sigma)} : \|g\|_{R,\sigma} < \frac{\rho}{N} \right\} =: E_2$$

and is uniformly contractive with constant $\lambda \leq \frac{1}{2}$ on E_1 .

Define

$$\mathcal{S}(X_{\underline{j}}) = \frac{1}{|\underline{j}|} \sum_{n=0}^{|\underline{j}|-1} \rho^n(X_{\underline{j}}),$$

and $\mathcal{S}(c) = c$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$.

Define

$$\mathcal{S}(X_{\underline{j}}) = \frac{1}{|\underline{j}|} \sum_{n=0}^{|\underline{j}|-1} \rho^n(X_{\underline{j}}),$$

and $\mathcal{S}(c) = c$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then \mathcal{S} is a contraction from $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{(R,\sigma)}$ into $\mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$.

Define

$$\mathcal{S}(X_{\underline{j}}) = \frac{1}{|\underline{j}|} \sum_{n=0}^{|\underline{j}|-1} \rho^n(X_{\underline{j}}),$$

and $\mathcal{S}(c) = c$ for $c \in \mathbb{C}$. Then \mathcal{S} is a contraction from $\mathcal{P}_{\varphi}^{(R,\sigma)}$ into $\mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$.

Denote

$$\Pi = id - \pi_0$$

Proposition 2.4

Assume that for some $R > 4\sqrt{\|A\|}$ and some $0 < \rho \leq 1$,

$W \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R+\rho, \sigma)} \subset \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R, \sigma)}$ and that $\|W\|_{R, \sigma} < \frac{\rho}{2N}$ and

$\sum_j \|\delta_j(W)\|_{(R+\rho) \otimes \pi(R+\rho)} < \frac{1}{8}$. Then there exists \hat{g} and $g = \Sigma \hat{g}$ such that:

- (i) $\hat{g}, g \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R, \sigma)}$
- (ii) \hat{g} satisfies $\hat{g} = \mathcal{S} \Pi F(\hat{g})$ and g satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{N}g = \mathcal{S} \Pi \left[-W(X + \mathcal{D}g) - \frac{1}{2} \{ \mathcal{J}_\sigma X^{-1} \# \mathcal{D}g \} \# \mathcal{D}g - Q(g) \right. \\ \left. + [(1 \otimes \varphi) \circ \text{Tr}_A + (\varphi \otimes 1) \circ \text{Tr}_{A^{-1}}](\mathcal{J} \mathcal{D}g) \right] \end{aligned}$$

- (iii) If W is self-adjoint, then so are \hat{g} and g .

Proof.

Set $\hat{g}_0 = W(X_1, \dots, X_N) \in E_1$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\hat{g}_k := \mathcal{S} \Pi F(\hat{g}_{k-1})$.

Proof.

Set $\hat{g}_0 = W(X_1, \dots, X_N) \in E_1$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\hat{g}_k := \mathcal{S} \Pi F(\hat{g}_{k-1})$.
We have

$$E_1 \xrightarrow{F} E_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S} \Pi} E_1,$$

Proof.

Set $\hat{g}_0 = W(X_1, \dots, X_N) \in E_1$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\hat{g}_k := \mathcal{S} \Pi F(\hat{g}_{k-1})$.
We have

$$E_1 \xrightarrow{F} E_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S} \Pi} E_1,$$

so that $\{\hat{g}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in E_1 with

$$\|\hat{g}_k - \hat{g}_{k-1}\|_{R,\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{g}_{k-1} - \hat{g}_{k-2}\|_{R,\sigma}.$$

Proof.

Set $\hat{g}_0 = W(X_1, \dots, X_N) \in E_1$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\hat{g}_k := \mathcal{S}\Pi F(\hat{g}_{k-1})$.
We have

$$E_1 \xrightarrow{F} E_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}\Pi} E_1,$$

so that $\{\hat{g}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in E_1 with

$\|\hat{g}_k - \hat{g}_{k-1}\|_{R,\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{g}_{k-1} - \hat{g}_{k-2}\|_{R,\sigma}$. Thus $\{\hat{g}_k\}$ converges to some $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$ which is a fixed point of $\mathcal{S}\Pi F$.

We note $\hat{g} \neq 0$ since $\mathcal{S}\Pi F(0) = \mathcal{S}\Pi(W) = W \neq 0$.

Proof.

Set $\hat{g}_0 = W(X_1, \dots, X_N) \in E_1$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\hat{g}_k := \mathcal{S}\Pi F(\hat{g}_{k-1})$.
We have

$$E_1 \xrightarrow{F} E_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}\Pi} E_1,$$

so that $\{\hat{g}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in E_1 with

$\|\hat{g}_k - \hat{g}_{k-1}\|_{R,\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{g}_{k-1} - \hat{g}_{k-2}\|_{R,\sigma}$. Thus $\{\hat{g}_k\}$ converges to some $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$ which is a fixed point of $\mathcal{S}\Pi F$.

We note $\hat{g} \neq 0$ since $\mathcal{S}\Pi F(0) = \mathcal{S}\Pi(W) = W \neq 0$.

Setting $g = \Sigma \hat{g}$ (so $\mathcal{N}g = \hat{g}$), yields (i) and (ii).

Proof.

Set $\hat{g}_0 = W(X_1, \dots, X_N) \in E_1$ and for each $k \in \mathbb{N}$, $\hat{g}_k := \mathcal{S}\Pi F(\hat{g}_{k-1})$. We have

$$E_1 \xrightarrow{F} E_2 \xrightarrow{\mathcal{S}\Pi} E_1,$$

so that $\{\hat{g}_k\}_{k \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence in E_1 with

$\|\hat{g}_k - \hat{g}_{k-1}\|_{R,\sigma} \leq \frac{1}{2} \|\hat{g}_{k-1} - \hat{g}_{k-2}\|_{R,\sigma}$. Thus $\{\hat{g}_k\}$ converges to some $\hat{g} \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$ which is a fixed point of $\mathcal{S}\Pi F$.

We note $\hat{g} \neq 0$ since $\mathcal{S}\Pi F(0) = \mathcal{S}\Pi(W) = W \neq 0$.

Setting $g = \Sigma \hat{g}$ (so $\mathcal{N}g = \hat{g}$), yields (i) and (ii).

If W is self adjoint then it follows that $\mathcal{S}\Pi F(h)^* = \mathcal{S}\Pi F(h)$ for $h = h^*$ and hence the sequence $\{\hat{g}_k\}$ is self-adjoint.



Theorem 2.5

Let $R' > R > 4\sqrt{\|A\|}$. Then there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on R , R' , and N so that whenever $W = W^* \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R',\sigma)}$ satisfies $\|W\|_{R'+1,\sigma} < C$, there exists $f \in \mathcal{P}^{(R)}$ which satisfies equation (2). In addition, $f = \mathcal{D}g$ for $g \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R,\sigma)}$. The solution $f = f_W$ satisfies $\|f_W\|_R \rightarrow 0$ as $\|W\|_{R'+1,\sigma} \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 2.6

Let φ be a free quasi-free state corresponding to A , and let $X_1, \dots, X_N \in (M, \varphi)$ be self-adjoint elements whose law φ_X is the unique Gibbs law with potential V_0 . Let $R' > R > 4\sqrt{\|A\|}$. Then there exists $C > 0$ depending only on R, R' , and N so that whenever $W = W^* \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R'+1, \sigma)}$ satisfies $\|W\|_{R'+1, \sigma} < C$, there exists $G \in \mathcal{P}_{c.s.}^{(R, \sigma)}$ so that:

- (1) If we set $Y_j = \mathcal{D}_j G$ then $Y_1, \dots, Y_N \in \mathcal{P}^{(R)}$ has the law φ_V , with $V = V_0 + W$;
- (2) $X_j = H_j(Y_1, \dots, Y_N)$ for some $H_j \in \mathcal{P}^{(R)}$;
- (3) if $R' > R\sqrt{\|A\|}$ then $(\sigma_{i/2} \otimes 1)(\mathcal{J}_\sigma \mathcal{D} G) \geq 0$.

In particular, there are state-preserving isomorphisms

$$C^*(\varphi_V) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t), \quad W^*(\varphi_V) \cong \Gamma(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''.$$

- Let $M_q = \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$, so that M_q is generated by $Z_j = s_q(e_j)$.

- Let $M_q = \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$, so that M_q is generated by $Z_j = s_q(e_j)$.
- Let $\Xi_q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n P_n \in HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$, where P_n is the projection onto vectors of tensor length n .

- Let $M_q = \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$, so that M_q is generated by $Z_j = s_q(e_j)$.
- Let $\Xi_q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n P_n \in HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$, where P_n is the projection onto vectors of tensor length n .
- Can identify $L^2(M_q \bar{\otimes} M_q^{op})$ with $HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$ via $a \otimes b^{op} \mapsto \langle b\Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle a\Omega$. For example $1 \otimes 1^{\circ} \mapsto P_0$.

- Let $M_q = \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$, so that M_q is generated by $Z_j = s_q(e_j)$.
- Let $\Xi_q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n P_n \in HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$, where P_n is the projection onto vectors of tensor length n .
- Can identify $L^2(M_q \bar{\otimes} M_q^{op})$ with $HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$ via $a \otimes b^{op} \mapsto \langle b\Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle a\Omega$. For example $1 \otimes 1^{\circ} \mapsto P_0$.
- Define $\partial_j^{(q)}(Z_k) = \alpha_{kj} \Xi_q$, then $\partial_j^{(0)} = \partial_j$ and $\partial_j^{(q)}(P) = \partial_j(P) \# \Xi_q$

- Let $M_q = \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$, so that M_q is generated by $Z_j = s_q(e_j)$.
- Let $\Xi_q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n P_n \in HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$, where P_n is the projection onto vectors of tensor length n .
- Can identify $L^2(M_q \bar{\otimes} M_q^{op})$ with $HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$ via $a \otimes b^{op} \mapsto \langle b\Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle a\Omega$. For example $1 \otimes 1^{\circ} \mapsto P_0$.
- Define $\partial_j^{(q)}(Z_k) = \alpha_{kj} \Xi_q$, then $\partial_j^{(0)} = \partial_j$ and $\partial_j^{(q)}(P) = \partial_j(P) \# \Xi_q$
- $\varphi(Z_j P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j^{(q)}(P))$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}(Z)$.

- Let $M_q = \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$, so that M_q is generated by $Z_j = s_q(e_j)$.
- Let $\Xi_q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n P_n \in HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$, where P_n is the projection onto vectors of tensor length n .
- Can identify $L^2(M_q \bar{\otimes} M_q^{op})$ with $HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$ via $a \otimes b^{op} \mapsto \langle b\Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle a\Omega$. For example $1 \otimes 1^{\circ} \mapsto P_0$.
- Define $\partial_j^{(q)}(Z_k) = \alpha_{kj}\Xi_q$, then $\partial_j^{(0)} = \partial_j$ and $\partial_j^{(q)}(P) = \partial_j(P) \# \Xi_q$
- $\varphi(Z_j P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j^{(q)}(P))$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}(Z)$.
- But we need $\xi_j \in L^2(M_q, \varphi)$ such that $\varphi(\xi_j P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j(P))$ so that we can satisfy the Schwinger-Dyson equation.

- Let $M_q = \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$, so that M_q is generated by $Z_j = s_q(e_j)$.
- Let $\Xi_q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n P_n \in HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$, where P_n is the projection onto vectors of tensor length n .
- Can identify $L^2(M_q \bar{\otimes} M_q^{op})$ with $HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$ via $a \otimes b^{op} \mapsto \langle b\Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle a\Omega$. For example $1 \otimes 1^{\circ} \mapsto P_0$.
- Define $\partial_j^{(q)}(Z_k) = \alpha_{kj}\Xi_q$, then $\partial_j^{(0)} = \partial_j$ and $\partial_j^{(q)}(P) = \partial_j(P)\#\Xi_q$
- $\varphi(Z_j P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j^{(q)}(P))$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}(Z)$.
- But we need $\xi_j \in L^2(M_q, \varphi)$ such that $\varphi(\xi_j P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j(P))$ so that we can satisfy the Schwinger-Dyson equation.
- ξ_j are called the conjugate variables of Z_1, \dots, Z_N with respect to $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_N$ and in fact are merely $\partial_j^*(1 \otimes 1)$.

- Let $M_q = \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$, so that M_q is generated by $Z_j = s_q(e_j)$.
- Let $\Xi_q = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} q^n P_n \in HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$, where P_n is the projection onto vectors of tensor length n .
- Can identify $L^2(M_q \bar{\otimes} M_q^{op})$ with $HS(\mathcal{F}_q(\mathcal{H}))$ via $a \otimes b^{op} \mapsto \langle b\Omega, \cdot \Omega \rangle a\Omega$. For example $1 \otimes 1^{\circ} \mapsto P_0$.
- Define $\partial_j^{(q)}(Z_k) = \alpha_{kj}\Xi_q$, then $\partial_j^{(0)} = \partial_j$ and $\partial_j^{(q)}(P) = \partial_j(P)\#\Xi_q$
- $\varphi(Z_j P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j^{(q)}(P))$ for $P \in \mathcal{P}(Z)$.
- But we need $\xi_j \in L^2(M_q, \varphi)$ such that $\varphi(\xi_j P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}(\partial_j(P))$ so that we can satisfy the Schwinger-Dyson equation.
- ξ_j are called the conjugate variables of Z_1, \dots, Z_N with respect to $\partial_1, \dots, \partial_N$ and in fact are merely $\partial_j^*(1 \otimes 1)$.
- Do not necessarily exist, but for small enough $|q|$ they do with $\xi_j = \partial_j^{(q)*} \circ \hat{\sigma}_{-i}([\Xi_q^{-1}]^*)$.

- Define

$$V = \Sigma \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} \xi_k Z_j \right) \quad V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} Z_k Z_j,$$

and let $W = V - V_0$.

- Define

$$V = \Sigma \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} \xi_k Z_j \right) \quad V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} Z_k Z_j,$$

and let $W = V - V_0$.

- Then $\mathcal{D}_{Z_j} V = \xi_j$ and so the vacuum state φ satisfies the Schwinger-Dyson equation with potential V :

$$\varphi(\mathcal{D}_Z V \# P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}((\mathcal{J}_\sigma)_Z(P)).$$

- Define

$$V = \Sigma \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} \xi_k Z_j \right) \quad V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} Z_k Z_j,$$

and let $W = V - V_0$.

- Then $\mathcal{D}_{Z_j} V = \xi_j$ and so the vacuum state φ satisfies the Schwinger-Dyson equation with potential V :

$$\varphi(\mathcal{D}_Z V \# P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}((\mathcal{J}_\sigma)_Z(P)).$$

- So to show $M = M_0 \cong M_q$, suffices to show $\|W\|_{R,\sigma}$ can be made small.

- Define

$$V = \Sigma \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} \xi_k Z_j \right) \quad V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} Z_k Z_j,$$

and let $W = V - V_0$.

- Then $\mathcal{D}_{Z_j} V = \xi_j$ and so the vacuum state φ satisfies the Schwinger-Dyson equation with potential V :

$$\varphi(\mathcal{D}_Z V \# P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}((\mathcal{J}_\sigma)_Z(P)).$$

- So to show $M = M_0 \cong M_q$, suffices to show $\|W\|_{R,\sigma}$ can be made small.
- Turns out it suffices to show $\|(\sigma_i \otimes 1)(\Xi_q^{-1}) - 1 \otimes 1\|_{R \otimes_\pi R}$ can be made small.

- Define

$$V = \Sigma \left(\sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} \xi_k Z_j \right) \quad V_0 = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j,k=1}^N \left[\frac{1+A}{2} \right]_{jk} Z_k Z_j,$$

and let $W = V - V_0$.

- Then $\mathcal{D}_{Z_j} V = \xi_j$ and so the vacuum state φ satisfies the Schwinger-Dyson equation with potential V :

$$\varphi(\mathcal{D}_Z V \# P) = \varphi \otimes \varphi^{op}((\mathcal{J}_\sigma)_Z(P)).$$

- So to show $M = M_0 \cong M_q$, suffices to show $\|W\|_{R,\sigma}$ can be made small.
- Turns out it suffices to show $\|(\sigma_i \otimes 1)(\Xi_q^{-1}) - 1 \otimes 1\|_{R \otimes_\pi R}$ can be made small.
- By adapting the estimates of Dabrowski in [1], can show this quantity tends to zero as $|q| \rightarrow 0$.

Theorem 3.1

For $\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}$ finite dimensional, then there exists $\epsilon > 0$ depending on N such that $|q| < \epsilon$ implies

$$\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t) \cong \Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t) \quad \text{and} \quad \Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' \cong \Gamma_0(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'.$$

In particular, if G is the multiplicative subgroup of \mathbb{R}_+^{\times} generated by the spectrum of A then

$$\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)'' \text{ is a factor of type } \begin{cases} III_1 & \text{if } G = \mathbb{R}_+^{\times} \\ III_{\lambda} & \text{if } G = \lambda^{\mathbb{Z}}, 0 < \lambda < 1 \\ II_1 & \text{if } G = \{1\}. \end{cases}$$

Moreover $\Gamma_q(\mathcal{H}_{\mathbb{R}}, U_t)''$ is full.

-  Y. Dabrowski; *A free stochastic partial differential equation*, Preprint, arXiv.org:1008:4742, 2010.
-  A. Guionnet and E. Maurel-Segala, *Combinatorial aspects of matrix models*, ALEA Lat. Am. J. Probab. Math. Stat. **1** (2006), 241-279. MR 2249657 (2007g:05087)
-  A. Guionnet and D. Shlyakhtenko, *Free monotone transport*, Preprint, arXiv:1204.2182v2, 2012
-  F. Hiai; *q-deformed Araki-Woods algebras*, Operator algebras and mathematical physics (Constanța, 2001) Theta, Bucharest, 2003, pp.169-202.
-  D. Shlyakhtenko; *Free quasi-free states*, Pacific J. Mathematics, **177**(1997), 329-368.